Achieving post Divorce Safety

Symposium Third World Conference on Women's Shelters

Marianne Hester, Corine de Ruiter & Sietske Dijkstra, The Hague, November 5 th 2015

What is needed and why?: See the enclosed wrap up of the audience

Slides: available on the website

Cases: brother in law who divorced and could not see his children

Shelterworkers (2): one woman without economic resources went back to her husband; another stayed in the shelter and built up a life on her own

Masks: sabotage, best interest of the child, parental alienation, high conflict divorce ('fighting divorce'), failure to protect, the worried mother, the toxic trio (psychiatry, addiction and violence)

Analysis: planets, absent presence (Thiara and Humphreys, 2015): "it is like a mask that sticks to your face and makes you hide your experience, and the thoughts and feelings about".

Observations, remarks and conclusions:

- 1. Internationally there are no systematic data on children dying in a high conflict divorce situation
- 2. There is a lack of objective knowledge on high conflict divorce
- 3. Mediation as a dominant model does not work
- **4.** We need to study the power dynamics more profoundly: the masks, the professional biases and the reference group to see what is going on in reality
- **5.** Professionals in the Netherlands have insufficient evidence-based knowledge of high conflict divorce (De Ruiter, 2015)
- **6.** The planet metaphor (Hester) cannot solve the contradictions in the system
- 7. We can learn from (stuck) cases and fatal violence
- **8.** Advice for professionals, the audience and women in the situation of high conflict divorce: face fear and find courage
- **9.** Based on Hester, we need to ask every time who does what to whom!!!

